We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show that God, in the person of Jesus, is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.

What Is A Scientific Theory?
According to Wikipedia, a scientific theory is a well-substantiated explanation of some aspect of the natural world that is acquired through the scientific method and repeatedly tested and confirmed, preferably using a written, pre-defined protocol of observations and experiments. Scientific theories are the most reliable, rigorous, and comprehensive form of scientific theories of Science. The purpose of the course was to explore controversies in science, including multiple universes, quantum

Science News to Learn By...
Professor Gets Tenure
Ball Sate University has awarded tenure to Professor Eric Hendin. Hendin taught an honors course at Ball State called Bound-

Pioneer Anomaly
Two Pioneer space crafts and two other space crafts were launched in the early 1970s headed far beyond our solar system. By the 1990s, NASA scientists noticed that there were not where they are supposed to be. Radar tracking shows them to be closer to earth than they should be according to the laws of gravity and inertia. According to Wikipedia, the reason has been determined. The reactor on board is losing heat. The radiation of the heat is “putting on the brakes” so to speak. The only problem is that the published papers on the subject were all later shown to be flawed. Recently another paper was scheduled to be published trying once again to explain the anomaly with heat from the reactor. That scientist has decided not to submit the paper. Only one person has been able to show what is happening using the laws of physics. Only a young creation cosmology explains the anomaly.

How Then Shall We Live?
Is there really good and evil? It there real certain truth? Or does truth depend on the circumstances? Are good and bad relative? Every child is born with a sense that there is a creator God. The research has plainly shown this. We have to convince our children otherwise or they will continue to believe in a creator God all their lives.
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indoctrinated in a belief. Think about indoctrination in the history of the world. The book _1984_ teaches what indoctrination does. It makes people mindless, not educated. Indoctrination is used by people who don’t want you to think differently than they think... And usually because they cannot defend what they “teach.”

That means that 14% of atheists believe that teachers should not be teaching the love of learning; they believe that the proper use of education is indoctrination in evolution. Sadly, it is the people who make the most noise who get their way, not the rational, thinking people. I will give the negative atheists credit: they have put themselves in a position of being the tail that wags the dog.

Belief in evolution has to compete at two different levels. It has lost at both levels so truth must be suppressed.

Level 1: Neo-darwinism (the currently taught mechanism of evolution) has lost the scientific battle. Every month studies in scientific journals are showing that the supposed mechanism of evolution does not work. And the authors are finally stating it outright... the mechanisms don’t work. We have given scores of examples in the past so we won’t go deeper now.

Level 2: The only possible alternative, Intelligent Design (ID), is the better scientific explanation. Many atheists hate this fact. They claim that ID can’t be science, could never be used in science as an explanation so they simply say we can’t consider ID. Therefore Neo-darwinism evolution wins by default, as if science was based on truth being established by default. Let’s see why ID is a part of the sciences.

SETI, the Search for ExtraTerrestrial Intelligence is based purely upon the notion that we can detect intelligence. If we detect a radio signal from space that is a repeating pattern not found in nature, the source of the signal must be intelligent. It can be a very simple signal, just some beeps that give, perhaps, a few prime numbers in order. That would be enough to say the signal came from an intelligent source.

But when we look at DNA, with millions of bits of coded of information in the simplest of life, the atheist says, that cannot be from an intelligent source.

The laws of physics that tell the atheist that a signal from space would have to come from an intelligent source also tells him that DNA must come from an intelligent source. As Francis Crick, codiscoverer of the structure of DNA, correctly stated: The laws of physics tell us that nature can only produce randomness or very simple repeated sequences. DNA has four different bits (letters) of information so, if the pattern repeated, it would be a simple repetition of the four bits such as ATCG ATCGATCG... The laws of physics require that randomness or simple repetition are the only possibilities. Neither can communicate information, but DNA is well-established to be a communicator of information. On the other hand, we have trillions of examples of communicated information that is intelligently created.

Archaeology. An archaeologist discovers a relatively flat material with marks on it. Is it a natural stone with natural erosional etching on it OR is it a man-shaped or natural surface with man-made marks? Occasionally it can be difficult to tell. But, most of the time, the answer is easy. If the marks take the form of known letters or relatively recognizable shapes such as animals, the archaeologist knows it was made by an intelligent agent.

Recognizing ID vs natural processes is part of the process of doing science!

Many atheists who reject ID have stated that life appears to be designed. But, they say, life isn’t designed. Since life appears to be designed, they are making an extraordinary claim in saying it is not designed. An extraordinary claim requires extraordinary evidence. Fortunately, there is LOTS of evidence. The evidence takes the form of experiments in laboratories. EVERY experiment has shown it does not happen. Evidence takes the form of laws of science. Every law of science that is applicable states that evolution and life from non-life cannot happen. The laws forbid it to happen. Designers, such as engineers, are among the leaders of those opposing the notion of life from non-life. They are trained to recognize intelligent design and design is obvious in life.

We could fill an entire issue with quotes from the greatest scientist who ever lived, Sir Isaac Newton, in which he proclaims the God of the Bible, but I will recite just a couple:

We are to admit no more causes of natural things than such as are both true and sufficient to explain their appearances.

Newton agrees that if the laws of physics forbid life from non-life then we are in error to say it happened that life came from non-life.

About the Time of the End, a body of men will be raised up who will turn their attention to the Prophecies, and insist upon their literal interpretation, in the midst of much clamor and opposition.

It is a thrill to know that Newton could see me (and many others) in the future and be glad for my defense of God’s Word.

He is paraphrasing 2 Peter 2:1 But false prophets also arose among the people, just as there will also be false teachers among you, who will secretly introduce destructive heresies, even denying the Master who bought them, bringing swift destruction upon themselves. I wish I had room to quote all of 2 Peter Chapter 2.

2 Timothy 4:3 says: For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but wanting to have their ears tickled, they will accumulate for themselves teachers in accordance to their own desires. He is referring to sinful desires.

Does one of these verses describe you? Neither describes a Christian. The eternal destiny is the same for practitioners of either verse.

Why seek falsehood? Stop hating God. Come join us Christians, the happiest, most content, people on earth. Come and love Jesus with us and find contentment! Come join us Christians, the happiest, most content, people on earth. Come and love Jesus with us and find contentment! All you have to do is ask Him to be your Lord and Savior. You cannot earn it, you just have to decide to make Him your God.

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of Isaac Newton, the universe, you and me. CRM
Evolves in a theory. It is NOT a fact. It is NOT a law. Yet, I often read that evolution is a fact as certain as gravity. That is a scientifically impossibility. Evolutionists always have to depend on the likelihood that you won’t know that they are misusing scientific terms. But let’s see if it is really a theory!

Is evolution a “well-substantiated explanation” acquired through “the scientific method and repeated testing...using a written pre-defined protocol of observations and experiments?” No, it is not.

Evolution is a series of just-so stories. For example, we were told for a century that peacocks have pretty tails so they would be more likely to find a mate. An actual experiment showed that just-so story to be false. Experiments and observations in genetics show it cannot happen. That is why famous evolutionist Douglas Futuyma recently wrote:

Ever since the Evolutionary Synthesis of the 1930s and 1940s, some biologists have expressed doubt that the Synthetic Theory [the prevailing modern version of evolution, also called neo-Darwinism], based principally on mutation, genetic variation, and natural selection, adequately accounts for macroevolution, or evolution above the species level.

First, please notice that there IS controversy in the field of evolution. There is real scientific doubt about neo-darwinism. Why? Because it is NOT a “well-substantiated explanation” acquired through “the scientific method and repeated testing...using a written pre-defined protocol of observations and experiments.” That is exactly what Futuyma is saying.

That is why the 14% of atheists hate ID (Intelligent Design) and any discussion of the merits of evolutionary “theory.” ID is a “well-substantiated explanation” acquired through “the scientific method and repeated testing...using a written pre-defined protocol of observations and experiments.”

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of the concept of theories, the universe, you and me. CRM
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mechanics, black holes, the formation of stars, human consciousness, evolution, debate on the place of naturalism, perspectives on the relationship between science and faith, and the nature of science, etc. AND, Intelligent Design. His students went to administration... O, wait a minute, no students complained. In fact, his students said things like: Dr. Hedin’s instruction challenged me in a way that my other university classes did not. This course made me a better learner. Gee, isn’t that what education is all about? The complainers were militant God-hating atheists (having NO affiliation with the school) who complained that he was teaching creation science because he included intelligent design. The course was permanently cancelled after the complaints, depriving students of quality education because of religious fanatics who believe you should only hear their religious beliefs. Hedin kept his job but students lost one of the few courses where quality education was the goal.

Junk DNA

We heard for decades that the 97% of the human genome (total DNA) is leftover junk from evolution. It does nothing. It just sits there. For decades medical researchers ignored 97% of DNA because, obviously, it could have no medical effect. Of course, creationists have said that there is little to no junk DNA and medical progress was being hindered by this myth of evolution. (Note: Until this century, there was no known function for “junk DNA” so the evolutionist belief that none would be discovered and the creationist prediction that it is functional are testable predictions of the two theories). Indeed, Bill Nye the science guy has stated that creationists have hindered medical research because we don’t believe in evolution. Researchers have found that “junk DNA” has many functions with new functions discovered every day. One of the latest finds is that one function performed by “junk DNA” works to stop cancer once it starts. Had researchers listened to creationists, cancer research would be decades ahead. Apparently, Bill Nye and other evolutionists haven’t read a medical journal in a while.

How Do They Miss It

May I give a little personal perspective? I have been asked, “Why can’t these scientists see that the data points to creation?” Here are my thoughts. I like to refer to a geologist that was in one of my classes. He is an atheist. He had worked for 40 years and then retired. When I gave an interpretation of geology that he disagreed with, he called me a liar (though very politely - he was a pleasure to have in class). He was not open to any thought I had. Why? For most men, their legacy is their work, especially if their career is related to science or design. An atheist over 65 years old and who has believed the naturalist story, and applied it in his work, and has nothing to leave mankind but his legacy of work, is in a difficult place. There is nothing future for him. When he dies, it is over. Nothing going forward and no ability to change the past. True hopelessness. But, he has hope that his work has benefited the future in some way. Expecting that person to say, “Oops, guess I blew it and my life amounted to nothing” is asking too much. I get it. All I can tell that person is that there IS a future after death and if he will just accept Jesus, the past will not be important to him. His life for Jesus will have all the meaning his life needs.

As I talk to non-creationists, I find that those under thirty tend to be very open minded and enjoy discussion. Then it starts to fade and by age 50 their minds are pretty much closed to new ideas (there are exceptions!). This is just human nature. It is just the way we are.

But it is instructive of science. Several well-known scientists have mentioned that science does not change slowly because of new data. It changes suddenly when the old guard dies out and retires and new blood comes in. The new blood has heard of the new theories and given them consideration. The new bloody finally comes into power as editors of professional journals and tenured teachers, and the science paradigm shifts from one idea to another almost overnight.

Young biologists are deserting neo-darwinism evolution and looking (futilely) for a new explanation. Hence, the young scientists of “The Third Way” are finished with Darwin and are looking for something that works. They will come up with something. But it won’t work either.

Too many atheists put their hate of God before good science. Once again, it is just the way we humans are. I hope you will stay young in your thinking. Follow the evidence... It leads to Jesus. CRM

QUOTE:

This conversation I had with another Christian actually last night, and we were sort of discussing just what it would take to convince an atheist that the resurrection had happened. And I said to him, well, if we had affidavits from the Roman guards standing at the foot of the cross, that they’d seen the body taken to the grave, they’d stayed by the body, and they’d actually seen the stone rolled away and Jesus come out and they’d all stayed with Jesus all through the forty days and watched Him ascend to Heaven, and that was documented and that was authenticated. Would an atheist accept it? And I said no. And that is really the key. Evidence is not the issue.

Paul Baird, leading atheist in a recent recorded conversation (which is why the grammar is not perfect)

POINT:

This is why evolutionist Kenneth Miller, talking about the effect of scientific evidence said, That would be convincing evidence if this were a scientific debate, but it's not. This is a cultural war. Creationists are often accused of having an agenda. We do. But, so do evolutionists. It is not that one view is science and the other is faith, both views are faith. The difference is that Creationists debate because of our love of God and the desire to see everyone in an eternity of paradise. The atheist debates because he hates God and wants you to hate God too, even if it means you suffer in hell with him for all eternity. That's right. In the end, this debate is all about where YOU will spend eternity. I already know that I will be in paradise. I hope you will fully investigate this debate. Your final conclusion will decide your entire eternity.

Jesus Christ - Luke 8:17