The Nature of Science - Probability

In this issue, we return this issue to the topic of probability. This is necessary because evolutionists use probability in a very unscientific manner as they attempt to convince you that time is magic and makes all things possible.

Probability is defined as the likelihood of a particular outcome among possible outcomes. In any given occurrence there

Meteorites

Meteorites are found worldwide. 38,660 meteorites have been documented. It is thought that about 500 meteorites hit the earth each year. Most meteors burn up in the atmosphere and never reach the surface of the earth. Only about 1000 meteorites have been observed as they hit. Though they are found all over the surface of the earth, the depth at which they are found is very limited. Several

Science News to Learn By...

Fossils with Dinosaurs

We have been told that mammals did not thrive until after the extinction of the dinosaurs. Only very small mammals, such as mice, lived at the time of the dinosaurs. The evolutionists were shocked when a fossil of a large-dog-sized mammal fossil was found a few years ago. A few weeks ago the facts surrounding a primate fossil were announced. It was a small primate. But, in the myth of evolution, primates should have evolved much later. Add this to the idea that birds evolved from dinosaurs. A 100 million year old bird track was recently discovered. Fossils of birds we see today are found in the deepest of layers of rock having dinosaur

Faint Sun Paradox

Evolutionists have a serious issue, one they fully acknowledge and for which they have no solution. According to the theories on the life of a star, our sun was 25% less bright when the earth formed 4.6 billion years ago. That means that the earth would have been well below zero in temperature. That situation is referred to as “snowball earth.” The entire surface of the earth would have been covered with ice. The ice would have reflected most sunlight back into space with the result that even today, the earth would still be an ice ball. Lots of solutions have been proposed, most in an attempt to suggest a greenhouse effect that allowed the earth to retain more heat and melt the ice. When the math is done, the ideas do not work. And, there is no evidence that the earth was ever totally covered in ice. How ‘bout they just ignore the problem? Done.

How Many Lives Have You Lived?

It is interesting when I talk with folks who believe they have lived multiple lives. They have usually lived many lives on this planet. I never hear of them living before societies had formed, so that limits those lives to the past 10,000 years or so. Of course, as a young earth creationist, I think they are limited to 6000 years, but we’ll give them 10,000 years. Before 10,000 years ago (at most), humans were basically living as tribes in small primitive communities according to the naturalists.

This presents a problem. As you take a look at the graph on the top of page 4...
**Probability from page 1**

are at least two possible outcomes. For example, a baseball game will result in one of two teams being the winner. When pulling a card from a deck of cards, there are 52 possible outcomes.

Evolutionists once made the argument that given enough monkeys with typewriters and enough time, they would someday randomly type the complete works of Shakespeare. But there are realistic limits that were not considered. There are approximately $10^{90}$ atoms in the universe. There have been approximately $10^9$ seconds since the beginning of her universe if you believe the universe was created 13.8 billion years ago. Let’s say that every atom is a monkey with a typewriter, that’s $10^{90}$ of them. And let’s say they are incredible typists, each one typing one million ($10^6$) characters per second. It is improbable that they will have typed “In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth.” That’s just 56 characters (including the period) compared to 10s of thousands of words written by Shakespeare. A living cell requires the equivalent of a complete set of encyclopedias several times over... Thousands of pages of typed information. You don’t hear the typing monkeys argument much any more.

Yet, the evolutionists will argue that there is still a chance, no matter how slim. And by golly, therefore it happened. After all, it is possible.

No, it is not possible in the scientific sense. They are using statistics, which never gives 100% or 0% as a possibility, as we mentioned in our last issue. But, even in statistics, when the probability drops to less than 1 chance in $10^9$, it is considered a non-possibility. The so-called scientist is violating scientific methodology because he doesn’t have any actual facts to support his cause. Hoyle said the probability of life from non-life is 1 chance in $10^{40,000}$ and the size of the human genome was not known at the time. Now I hear probabilities from evolutionists like Hubert Yockey of 1 chance in $10^{40,000}$ or even less probable than that. The irrational, pseudo-scientist claiming life from non-life is possible is simply attempting to con you into his religious cult. Let’s see how real scientists deal with probabilities.

**Possible:** a non-zero probability the event could happen. When throwing dice, it is not scientifically accurate to say it is possible for a die to land on its edge unless it has been demonstrated that it has happened. Properly said... we could speculate that a die could land on an edge. A person using the term “possible” must show that it can happen. There are two ways to show this. 1) Present an example that already exists or 2) Show that the laws of science will allow it and not preclude it. The evolutionist loses in both cases.

**Feasible:** it is capable of being done or carried out. You must show it is possible.

**Infeasible:** not capable of being done or carried out. In other words, it is not possible because it cannot be demonstrated to have happened or scientific laws preclude the occurrence.

**Impossible:** a zero chance of occurrence. Statistics computes that there is never a 0% chance so scientists who claim that life from non-life is possible misuse this word. Their logic is: If it is not impossible, then it must be possible. This conclusion is incorrect. The logic is improper.

**Operationally Impossible or Infeasible:** the probability is greater than zero but for all practical purposes it cannot happen. Generally defined in science as less than 1 chance in $10^{90}$. Notice that scientists are a little more optimistic than statisticians, but $10^{50}$ or $10^{70}$ still pale when compared to the probability of life from non-life: between $10^{40,000}$ or $10^{340,000}$.

What is the point? There are three points.

1. The first is that the idea of life from non-life is operationally infeasible and not possible by scientific definition. Any scientist who says it is possible is not speaking as a scientist, but as a cult member.

2. Scientists know that life from non-life is an unconquerable problem for them. The problem of life from non-life shows no hope of a solution, so they prefer to simply ignore the problem because the average person will realize that the entire evolutionary process is destroyed by this, not just the weakest, but the nonexistent link in the chain of evolution from stardust to life to us. They will say it is an entirely different issue than evolution. But it isn’t. Evolutionary theory must include everything from the big bang to us. The reason it is important is simple, if life was created by an intelligent designer, then why should we think that the designer used random processes to get from the first cell to us. Have you ever seen an engineer throw a piece of steel on the ground and say, “OK, let’s see if this steel can turn itself into something useful, like a car.”? No. Designers design the finished product in every case we know. Why would we ever think that a designer intelligent enough to create life wouldn’t design all forms that life is to take? That’s the logical expectation. Evolutionary theory would be dead in the water if they admitted there is an intelligent designer of life. We also point out that, scientifically speaking, it is the obligation of the evolutionist to show life from non-life is possible. They cannot and thus such a belief is non-science... The idea is just religious cult belief.

3. Evolutionists call names instead of debating the science. They know they have the media on their side so they can say whatever they wish and will not be questioned. We will get emails because of this article where we will be called as evil of names as you can image along with kinder labels such as “science denier” or “pseudo scientist,” etc. The reason is simple. The evolutionists cannot defend their theory on scientific grounds. Character assassination is the weapon of last resort for those who know they cannot defend what they believe.

We enjoy the occasional discussion that an article sometimes gets going with a reader. Most of the time we agree to disagree, but the discussion is enjoyable and a learning process. Intellectually honest people engage in such discussions. Most evolutionists, particularly the leaders of their religious cult, do not.

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of all the amazing forms of life, the universe, you and me. C.R.M
Meteorite continued from p. 1

Every meteorite (with one exception) has been found in the mid to late Quaternary era. That represents the last one million years or .02% of all geological time. What about the 4.6 billion years before that? For the most part, we only can view about the last 550 million years or so of strata so let’s limit the discussion to those years. If 500 meteorites hit the earth each year, in 550 million years, there would be 275 billion meteorites in the 550 million years worth of strata.

Because the solar system had a lot more material flying around in the past than now, this estimate is actually low. There should be many more than 275 billion meteorites.

As we stated above, one meteorite has been found low in the strata. In two quarries located near each other in Sweden, many tiny particles and about 40 larger particles have been found in the same strata layer. The scientists reporting the find state that a large meteor broke up in the atmosphere and deposited the particles over at least the area where they found them. So, we now only have 274,999,999,999 more to discover.

Tens of thousands of meteorites have been found in Antarctica. There are two reasons. The first is that meteorites are usually dark compared to snow or ice so they are easy to see on or just below the surface. The second, is that the ice in Antarctica is always “flowing.” As it flows it encounters the tops of mountain ranges buried in the ice. These mountain ranges curve the ice up and meteorites that were deposited in lower layers come to the surface.

So, we find lots of meteorites in Antarctica because they are easy to see and those below the surface are being brought to the top.

But, why so few meteorites in the strata. The logical explanation is that about 900,000 meteorites hit during the 1800 years from creation through the end of Noah’s flood. It would be a rare occasion to find a meteorite in the strata because there would be so few over a huge area and depth of strata.

In the 4200 years since the flood, over 2 million meteorites have hit the earth and are at or very near the surface. Many of these have been discovered, but there are many more that are undiscovered, many of them just below the surface.

The scarcity of meteorites in the strata is another “clock” that shows the earth is young. There are over 100 “clocks” that can be used to date the earth. Only radiometric dating gives old ages. The other 90%, like meteorites, show a young age. More of the data better fits a young earth scenario.

God, in the person of Jesus, is the creator of meteors, the universe, you and me. CRM
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fossils. You have to wonder... Do they ever actually look at their own data? It seems they don’t see the data that falsifies their myth.

DNA Complexity
A recent paper (http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00018-013-1394-1) looks at the complexity of the operation of DNA. The authors call the operation of DNA “irreducibly organized.” In other words, it all had to be there working together from the very start. You can’t build it up step by step. It is all interdependent. So why the term “irreducibly organized?” Simple. Creationists and Intelligent Design (ID) advocates have already used the better term many times over. The cell DNA is “irreducibly complex.” The researchers know this is the better term, but it is now politically incorrect in science to describe reality in accurate terms because it then becomes blatantly obvious that life is designed by an intelligent agent. We know that intelligent agent as Christ Jesus.

Snow Ball Earth
We mention “snowball earth” briefly on the front page. As we wrote, a new study was released. The new study crushes the hopes of solving the faint sun paradox. Here are a few quotes: “The mystery of why life on Earth evolved when it did has deepened...” “...have ruled out a theory as to why the planet was warm enough to sustain the planet’s earliest life...” “To counter the effect of the weaker Sun, carbon dioxide concentrations in the Earth’s atmosphere would need to have been 1,000 times higher than present...” “However, ancient fossil soils – the best indicators of ancient carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere – suggest only modest levels...” When will they deal with reality? CRM
you will see that from the ancient past until about 2000 B.C., the human population of the earth is extremely small. The population of the earth increases very slowly. Between 10,000 years ago and today, there have been approximately 14 billion people. 7 billion people are alive today. That means that half the people who have ever lived are alive today. At most, each of us could have had one previous life. So, how could so many have lived many lives in the past? I suppose that many of us could just be living our first life.

But reincarnation is supposed to lead to people living better and better lives. But I don’t see those who have supposedly lived many lives doing any better than those of us who are just in our first life.

Which leads to the second question. If people are born good, why is there evil? The answer is usually that society has become corrupted. That leads to a third question, “If people are born good, how could society ever have become corrupted?” If everyone is good from the beginning then society should reflect that fact. How could corruption even enter the picture? Hmmmm. The answer I get is that they don’t know but it doesn’t matter. But it does matter. The validity of the concept of reincarnation demands an answer.

The solution to the enigma is plain to see. We are not born good. We are born depraved... Tendencies toward evil. Who hasn’t lied or stolen or broken the simplest of God’s law? We all have and we all knew it was wrong when we did it. Those of us who lead peaceful, mostly “good” lives now can thank our parents for disciplining us so we started doing the right things instead of the wrong things.

In one of Joni Mitchell’s songs, she states, “Guru books, the Bible, just reminders that were aren’t good enough.” She is exactly correct. They are reminders. But books other than the Bible leave us hopeless. They require that we start doing good exclusively. That isn’t going to happen so we have no chance of reaching our goal of being good. (I think most of us have a goal of doing good.) The Bible acknowledges this problem. And it explains how God has provided the solution so we have hope that someday we will stop doing evil.

Paul gives the explanation in the Book of Romans. Nobody will ever do good, so God had to pay the price of our evil. He had to purchase us from evil. It was to be a free gift to us because there is no way we can pay the cost. Jesus paid the cost when He, as a man who never performed an evil act, served the punishment of death that only sinners have earned. He paid the price, He purchased us from evil. All we have to do is accept His gift. That’s it. Just accept the gift. But most reject the gift. They don’t want God messing in their lives.

Because of His love for all people, He has acknowledged your position and desire. You will get what you have requested. After you die, He will leave you alone. It is called hell... Separation from God. In this life you still have to put up with Him through me and others. But soon you won’t have to put up with us.

May we suggest you will not enjoy that eternity? Come join us in God’s presence for eternity. Accept His free gift of salvation through Jesus. CRM