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We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.

Hello! The latest science is full of new findings that show Jesus is Creator of the universe, you and us. Thank you for joining us in learning the Good News.

If you would like to further the cause of our Lord Christ Jesus by supporting Crying Rocks Ministry, we invite you to send a donation of any size. Please send check or money order, not cash. All donations are tax-deductible, will be acknowledged, and a year end statement will be sent after the first of each year.

Science Questions Evolutionists Cannot Answer

History is an amazing thing. Many history books have been written over the thousands of years of civilization. Those texts are accepted as accurate copies of the original. In some cases, historians count on the accuracy of those books to know what happened in the past. Some examples include the Iliad, Julius Caesar’s Gallic Wars, Thucydides’ History and Herodotus’ History. What about the New Testament of the Bible? It was more...

New Scientist Evolution Guide

The extremely pro-evolution and anti-ID/Creation science magazine, New Scientist, titled their 4-19-2008 cover story: Evolution - A guide for the not-yet-perplexed. The story is about several evolution myths and misconceptions. To make sure you are up to date on current evolutionary thinking, we would like to summarize for you the myths and misconceptions they mention.

Expelled: No Intelligence Allowed

If you haven’t seen this movie yet, you need to as soon as possible. A local paper has published our review and we encourage you to check our web site for a link to that review. The most brilliant minds cannot give an explanation for how life first started. The best view in the eyes of these philosophers appears to be that little green men did it.

Science News to Learn By...

Atheist Check Up

Faith breeds charity. We atheists have to accept that most believers are better human beings. So reads the title of a section of the book, The Spiritual Brain, citing an article in the Guardian, 9-12-2005. It continues: The Salvation Army has been given a special status as provider-in-chief for American disaster relief. But it’s work is being augmented by all sorts of other groups. Almost all of them have a religious origin or character.

See Iliad on page 4

See Questions on page 2

See Guide on page 3

See News on page 3
reason why not one single person has provided an answer. NOT ONE. You will see why the questions cannot be answered: The actual experimental data is always the opposite of what an old-earth requires. When I ask these questions, the usual reply is that (suddenly) they aren’t experts, but the experts probably know. Or, they say, “Someday, science will figure it out.” The reality is that research HAS figured it out is discounted. The research shows the assumptions of an old earth are... assumptions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Why It CANNOT Be Answered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How does strata become curved and folded with no stress cracks or crumbling?</td>
<td>Strata thousands of feet thick are folded. Folded strata is found throughout the West. The Appalachian Mountains consist entirely of huge folds. Heat and pressure is the usual answer. How does rock get hot enough to curve and not become metamorphic rock, which would cause all strata lines to disappear? No answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the time between deposits of sediment is millions of years, how can the boundary between layers be flat with no evidence of a drainage system?</td>
<td>The Grand Canyon (right top) shows that layers of strata show no erosion between layers. Dig a hole anywhere in the world and if you dig though all the sedimentary rock (the rock that has strata), you will cut through MANY boundaries between layers that represent supposed millions of years. But there is no evidence of a drainage system. NOT ANYWHERE! Look at the top of the Grand Canyon. See the up and down, the drainage system, at the top? We should see the effects of drainage systems in the strata below the top such as illustrated here. Geologists agree that millions of years are at the boundaries, not in the layers of strata. But the boundaries are flat as if there was zero time between layers of strata. Logically, there was no passage of years of time at the boundaries, nor in the deposition of the strata, exactly what happened in Noah’s Flood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why are some rock layers out of order?</td>
<td>At the right is Chief Mountain in the Lewis “overthrust” in Montana. The mountain is Precambrian rock sitting on top of shale that is supposedly 500,000 years YOUNGER. The overthrust measures 35 x 135 miles. Secular geologists say it is an overthrust because that is the only way to explain old rock on top of younger rock. But there is zero evidence that the area actually overthrusted. The shale (which is very weak) is undisturbed. There is no material buildup giving evidence of plowing along the sides or in front of the thrust. The geological evidence is that they were placed in the order originally. There are many such examples around the world. That’s right, there are many places where fossils/strata are out of order and there is no geological evidence of overthrust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How can fossils supposedly millions of years old contain proteins, DNA and soft tissue?</td>
<td>At the right is dinosaur soft tissue and blood cells. DNA is being obtained from the samples. Supposedly this tissue is 60,000,000 years old. But research, actual measurements, show that no matter how well you preserve living material after death, it will decompose in just a few thousand years. The only way to slow the process is freezing and no scientist would make the ridiculous statement that these fossils were frozen for millions of years. NEWS FLASH: The University of North Carolina just released information that one of their professors has discovered micro fibers of cellulose that dates to 253,000,000 years old. DNA has been observed and it looks exactly like modern cellulose microfibers (another problem for evolution - “ancient” fossils look exactly like modern examples of the same life form). It would seem that logic would say the professor has just dated the rock to a few thousand years old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How can mutations plus selection lead to different creatures?</td>
<td>Evolutionists say natural selection will eliminate bad mutations and promote good mutations. The closest to a positive mutation they mention is sickle -cell anemia, which is listed among 17,000 other mutations in the Johns Hopkins data base of diseases caused by mutations. Common sense is needed here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How can life come from non-life?</td>
<td>In the new movie, Expelled: No Intelligence Allowed, Richard Dawkins, the high-priest of atheism/materialism/naturalism, states that nobody has the slightest idea of how life could have come from non-life. Absolutely no idea. That is because every experiment ever done shows that actual processes are the exact opposite of what is needed for life to come from non-life. Oh, and by the way, Dawkins states that he would accept Intelligent Design (ID) as solid science if it could be shown life was planted on earth by aliens who evolved from non-life on their planet. The resistance to ID is religious, not scientific.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reality check:** We have just scratched the surface of examples found in large and growing quantities that dumbfound the old-earthers, but fit, along with all scientific data, into the 6000 year old earth and Noah’s Flood creation theory. 2 Peter 3:3-6 sums it up: ...mockers will come...following after their own lust...it escapes their notice...the world at that time was destroyed, being flooded with water. Start praising your Creator, Jesus, today!
News continued from p. 1
Notable by their absence are teams from Rationalist Societies, Free thinkers Clubs and Atheist associations. Why mention this in a newsletter about science? Because books by Sam Harris, Richard Dawkins and some folks who write or call us think that if we remove religion, particularly Christianity, the world will be a better place. They willingly ignore medical services and provision for orphans Christians financially support and staff worldwide, not to mention the Christian-church-created university system, along with concepts like free speech and a free press. We remember the many informal debates and discussions we had in college with fellow students and professors. Now that the atheists control the universities, open discussion is ridiculed and discouraged. Have you ever noticed that many countries run by atheists have walls to keep their citizens in... because the citizens want to escape to Christian-thought-based countries?

Blind Fish See Again
Apparently blind fish can see again. It turns out there that there are several different mutations that can lead to blindness in fish. If two blind fish who are blind due to different mutations, breed, the offspring have a 40% chance of having vision. This tells us that blind fish suffer a mutation, it is not a stepping stone to fish that can see, nor a major step of evolution in any direction. The blind fish survive after losing their site because it is totally dark where they live so loss of vision had no effect on their ability to survive.

IQ Not Related To Brain Size
Evolutionists have long made the argument that intelligence is related to brain size. Since humans have larger brains than most critters, we are smarter. But it has actually long been known that this is a false assumption. Now medical science and evolutionists are stumped. A man in France, age 44, went to the hospital because his legs were weak. They did a CT scan. They found his head was mostly cavities. His IQ was normal and nobody, including his employer had noticed any problems.

Then where did his intelligence come from? His Intelligent Designer, Jesus, gave him and us a soul... a spirit that is the “real” us. That soul dwells in a physical body. The materialists keep trying to discover how people came to have free will when all we are is predetermined chemical reactions. There is no materialist explanation for free will. Our mind is not the same as our brain. CRM

Guide continued from p. 1
1 Everything is an adaptation. This comes as a bit of a shocker since Richard Dawkins has made such a strong point that by definition evolution is adaptation which is mutation + natural selection. The author gives examples of traits that probably are just accidents after declaring that evolutionists have too frequently made up “just so” stories for every trait. Hmmm. This is movement toward what creationists have been saying all along. In fact, Sanford, in his book Genetic Entropy and the Mystery of the Genome, makes the point that research shows that most changes in traits are random as mutations and survival is more a matter of blind luck than any rational process.

2 Evolution can’t be disproved. This fact which they present as a myth is actually true. Their examples of how to disprove evolution include such absurd assumptions as similar creatures should have different internal mechanisms if there is an intelligent designer. Somebody go tell Ford they need to stop putting round tires on their cars because if they had intelligent designers they would realize GM already uses round tires. Of course a plea to junk DNA, now disproved, is used too. Sheesh! Don’t they read all the recent research?

3 Evolution is limitlessly creative. Here they make a point that some features would probably never evolve on earth. For example a Zebra with built-in machine guns. I am not making this up. It is right there on page 29. Nough said.

4 Natural selection leads to ever greater complexity. Since all the research does prove that mutations are always a loss of information, this myth has been truly busted and we applaud them for moving in a scientific direction. They give the example of the brainless starfish being the descendent of sea urchins which have a brain. Good call for a wrong reason, but we will take it. But here is the hoot... now the idea is that major increases happen when there is no selection. What? This is like the geologist who now say all the millions of years are in the infinitely thin boundaries between strata layers. The proof is in what doesn’t happen. Does that sentence make sense? It shouldn’t but it is now the foundation of geology and apparently, now, evolution.

5 Evolution produces perfection. We have never heard this myth before but if it is out there, we give credit to New Scientist for pointing out what should have been the obvious.

6 It doesn’t matter if people don’t grasp evolution. Again a truth is declared a myth. Advances in fighting disease is the result of understanding natural selection, not dinosaurs turning into birds.

7 Evolutionary science is not predictive. They admit it is true in the logical sense, and we would take it. But when they say use the discredited pepper moth research, they lose all credibility.

8 Natural selection is the only means of evolution. This appears to be a repeat of #1 with a twist. Add #1 to this one and you end up with all changes being pure luck. Do we really have to talk about probabilities again? The evolutionists lost that discussion long ago. What we see is that evolution is not what we were taught as FACT in high school and college. Nothing like it. CRM
Iliad continued from p. 1

recently written than these books

There is much criticism that the Bible has been changed over the years so that it is now a different book from what it was as originally written. Supposedly, the Christian leadership has made major alterations. Now there is no doubt that there have been some modifications consisting primarily of minor typographical errors. In the case of the book of Mark there are actually several verses that appear to have been added over the years by the copyists. The question is this: Has the meaning of the text been altered by the typos and additions? The answer is, “No.” But can we really trust those copies as being true to the original?

In the case of the Old Testament, when the Dead Sea Scrolls were found in 1946, they gave evidence of accuracy. The scrolls had been copied by the Essenes at their community by the Dead Sea at about the same time that Jesus was alive in Israel 2000 years ago. Copies of every book of the Old Testament were found in the caves, with the exception of the book of Esther. It was discovered that our modern texts have a few minor typos, but there had been an amazingly low level of typos. Not only did this verify the contents of the Old Testament of the Bible as written, it also gave historian confidence that such works as the Iliad were probably very accurate also. To see if we can trust the New Testament as we have it now, let’s do a little comparison:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Year Written</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iliad</td>
<td>800-900 BC</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, might be an accurate portrayal of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julius Caesar’s Gallic Wars</td>
<td>58-51 BC</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, probably an accurate portrayal of all the events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pliny’s History</td>
<td>23-79 AD</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, and considered an accurate portrayal of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thucydides’ History</td>
<td>460-395 BC</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, considered a very accurate portrayal of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herodotus’ History</td>
<td>440 BC</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, and considered an accurate portrayal of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bible New Testament</td>
<td>47-95 AD</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, and most consider it an accurate portrayal of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gnostic Gospels</td>
<td>140-400 AD</td>
<td>Text considered true to original, much debate regarding accuracy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note the number of early texts of the new Testament there are and how soon they were written after the facts they describe. In addition, most of the books of the Bible were written by eye-witnesses. In addition, there are no works that contradict the Bible until the Gnostic Gospels came along much later by those who were never involved in the events or ever heard Jesus teach.

A lot of folks don’t like it, but the Bible is the best historically documented book of fact. In spite of the many claims made, nobody produced anything to contradict the Bible. Plenty of people would have seen Jesus and read the texts and been able to critique the writings. They didn’t.

So, why do people want to say the Bible has been changed? The answer is simple. Most people like to say Jesus was a great individual, but they haven’t read the Bible. Jesus was great. In deed, He is the creator of you me and everything that exists. But, Jesus had harsh words for those who reject His teaching and/or His deity. In fact, He preached eternal judgment in hell for those who reject Jesus, the Christ of God. But for those who die to self and live for Him, He promised eternal life in paradise. It is our hope you will make the choice right now and make your reservation to join us in paradise! Not sure how to place that reservation? Call us and we will explain. CRM

QUOTES:
A lot of arranged marriages are with first cousins, and that produces lots of genetic problems in terms of disability. - Phil Woolas, UK Environment Minister, Sunday Times, London, Feb. 10, 2008

THE POINT:
For a mutation to become part of a population’s genome, there must be much interbreeding of the population until the mutation is established in the genome. A requirement of evolution is actually a major problem. Nobody wants their child born with even one mutation.