Carbon 14 Dating

Carbon-14 (known as C-14) dating methods have been considered very reliable since the inception in the 1950's. In this article we are going to show you how it works and where it does not work. C-14 dating consists of comparing the amount of carbon-12 (C-12) and carbon-14 in a dead thing. The method will work only on something which was

See C-14 on next page

Schnebly Hill... A Problem

The problem is not just in the Schnebly Hill formation of rock. The Grand Canyon actually shows it better. The problem exists all over the world. But, we will use the Schnebly Hill area to illustrate the problem.

If you read a history of Sedona geology (or the Grand Canyon or just about anywhere), you will find that geologists

See Schnebly on page 3

National Geographic Does It Again

Notice the two covers at the left. You may recognize the right-hand cover as being on the latest issue of National Geographic. The left-hand article is from Life magazine, April, 1982. The article from Life magazine reviews the ideas of Darwin, hopeful monsters and punctuated equilibrium. We detailed those ideas in our last issue of Creation News Update. Life took the position of the new (in 1982) idea called punctuated equilibrium, rejecting Darwinian evolution, concluding, "...If, after more than a century, natural selection has been tested and found wanting, and if we are left once again with a sense of ignorance about origins, Darwin would not have minded. Science is a voyage of discovery, and beyond each horizon there is another."

National Geographic disagrees. The feature article is entitled, "No. The evidence for Evolution is overwhelming." What follows in this article is just a few of the inaccuracies and fallacies in

See National Ge on page 3

MEETING

Due to the busyness of the Christmas season, there will be no meeting with this issue. We will have a meeting in February. Meanwhile, call or E-mail us if you would like to discuss this newsletter. We look forward to hearing from you!
Nitrogen normally has 7 protons and 7 neutrons. An atom that has the same number of protons as neutrons is considered stable. It likes it just the way it is and tries to stay that way. Neutrons ejected from the sun strike some of the nitrogen atoms. When a neutron strikes, it knocks away a proton and adds itself. Because the atom now has only 6 protons, it is really a carbon atom. The number of protons plus the number of neutrons is 14 so we call it C-14.

This atom enters the food chain just like any other carbon atom and all living things end up having regular carbon (C-12) and radioactive C-14. The ratio is one trillion C-12 for every C-14 atom. As long as a living thing is alive at some time in the recent past. Here is how it works:

So, what is the problem? How can this be? If the amount of C-14 is actually still increasing, all the Carbon dates are WRONG. It is now thought they are accurate back to perhaps 1000 years. Since it takes about 30,000 years to reach equilibrium, it is quite reasonable to say that based on C-14, the earth is less than 30,000 years old! What do evolutionists do with this? Ignore it...

"The troubles of the radiocarbon dating method are undeniably deep and serious. Despite 35 years of technological refinement and better understanding, the underlying assumptions have been strongly challenged... It should be of no surprise, then, that fully half of the dates are rejected. The wonder is, that the remaining half came to be accepted. The implications of pervasive contamination and ancient variations in carbon-14 levels are steadfastly ignored by those who base their argument upon the dates.... While the method cannot be counted on to give good, unequivocal results, the numbers do impress people, and save them the trouble of thinking excessively." Robert E. Lee, "Radiocarbon: Ages in Error," Anthropological Journal of Canada, 1981, pp. 26-27.

And...

"Ancient human skeletons, when dated by the new Accelerator Mass Spectrometer technique, give surprisingly recent dates. In one study of eleven sets of ancient human bones, all were dated at about 5,000 radiocarbon years or less." R. E. Taylor, "Major Revisions in the Pleistocene Age Assignments for North American Human Skeletons by C-14 Accelerator Mass Spectrometry", American Antiquity, Vol. 50, 1985, pp. 136-140.

The problem is obvious to the old-earth scientists, but still they publicly tell us that they can be trusted. Once again the Bible proves to be the source of TRUTH, and that is what they dislike the most. We live in a young universe. Jesus created it all about 6000 years ago. We'll show how that is proven by every branch of science over the next several issues.
the article. Legitimate evolutionist scientists have called National Geographic to task several times in the past for being misleading and unscientific. Another scolding is due. For a complete analysis, log on to our web site at http://www.cryingrocks.org/. Here are just a few of the major errors in the article:

1. Page 4. They compare evolution (which is actually a hypotheses, not a theory as there is no empirical lab experimentation to verify the hypothesis) to the theory of General Relativity. What is the difference? Evolution is random, therefore, it predicts nothing. General Relativity is a very real tool that can be used to solve problems and make predictions of what will happen.

2. Page 8-9. Both pages are devoted to natural variation within a species. Nobody disagrees with this. But Darwinism does not account for rabbits giving birth to skunks.

3. Page 12. The "horse series" is given as evidence of slow change. For over 20 years, biologists have known that the "horse series" is out of sequence in many places and is now considered invalid.

4. Page 13. The claim is made that a mammal embryo passes through a stage that resembles reptile. This idea was discredited as a hoax in the 1950's!

If evolution is true, why are the examples offered not examples of good science? I could go on and on, but I'll leave it to you to check the web page mentioned.

In summary, National Geographic has repeatedly done articles on evolution which are so poor that they degrade the reputation of an otherwise outstanding publication.

Schnebly continued from p. 1

believe that the rock layers have been put down by a calm sea that entered and left the area many times.

First, we must say that we agree that sedimentary rock is the result of layers of sediment forming underwater. In future issues we will continue building the case that those layers were laid down in a short time period known as Noah's Flood. Here is our first argument that the sediments cannot be the result of repeated arrival and departure of a body of water.

Let's look at a picture taken in the Schnebly Hill area:

Notice the layer of lighter colored rock. Old-earth geologists would say that there must be a considerable amount of time between the time the red rock below was deposited and when the lighter rock was deposited. That is because the rock material is different. So, the shallow sea had to recede, change sediment content, and come back some number of years later.

The question that must be posed is: Why is the junction between these layers perfectly horizontal/straight-lined? While those sediments were above water level, erosion should occur. Follow the sequence:

Originally, the strata built up above the lighter colored layer. The arrow points to the same place as in the first picture.

Next, the land erodes as the sediments are above water. The old-earth geologist disagrees with this logical assessment. He would say the entire area (as much as 180,000 square miles in places) eroded perfectly flat. He has to say that because we have caused a stir because they are supposedly 18,000 years old and the brain cavity is smaller than a chimp's. Therefore Flores is pre-human. Pictured at the right are two fully modern, adult, intelligent humans. One of them is the size of "Flores man." See our web site for a link to the full story.

Is Flores Man pre-human? Bones found in Indonesia
It's a young world after all. The week of October 19, 2004, I (Guy) had the pleasure of joining The Institute for Creation Research in doing some field work in the mountains of Nevada about 70 miles northeast of Las Vegas. It was a fascinating week which stirred my desire to learn as much as I can about geology.

Beginning my study, my first discovery is that everything I learned in high school and college is totally out of date. We will attempt to get you up to date as I get up to date. We start in

End Times continued from p. 1

It is interesting how so many writings point to our being near the end. In the green box is a quote from the Bible...

The Bible differs from these announcements of the "end of the world" in several important points.

First, the non-Biblical prophecies seem to indicate that the "end" will actually be an evolutionary advancement, much along the lie Satan told Eve in the garden in Genesis 3:5. He tells her she "...will be like God, knowing good from evil." In a typical Satanic lie, there was an element of truth. He just "forgot" to tell her it would cause her death.

Second, the non-Biblical prophecies assume we will be returned to our "natural" state of being spirit. The Bible says we have a spirit that continues beyond physical death. But our spirit needs a physical body in which to dwell. Jesus will recreate a perfect world without physical death, including a new body for us to dwell in.

Third, non-Biblical philosophy assumes we are born good and become evil. Everything I see contradicts that. My oldest child needed no instruction to tell her first lie. It came naturally to her and it comes naturally to all of us. Yes, we are sinners. Hopefully, our parents, through loving discipline, taught us how to act good. Thankfully, Jesus paid the price we owe for our sin against God. More in the next exciting issue...

We must speak for silence would shame us, and the rocks themselves would cry out... You, O Lord Christ Jesus, must be praised for who You are in the world You have made.
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Evolution Cruncher

Only $7.95

Over 900 pages of FACTS about evolution and creation. Many quotes by evolutionists admitting evolution's scientific bankruptcy. Our price of only $7.95 includes tax, shipping and handling! Send your check to our contact address right now!
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